Office Supreme Court, U. S.
FILED
JUN 15 1926
WM. R. STANSBURY
CLERK

IN THE

Supreme Court of the United States

OCTOBER TERM, 1926.

No. [redacted]199

WILLIAM A. RHEA, PETITIONER,

vs.

THOMAS C. SMITH, RESPONDENT.

RESPONDENT'S BRIEF

W. R. ROBERTSON,
Counsel for Respondent.

(31,409)

INDEX.

	PAGE
ARGUMENT	9–19
STATEMENT	1–8
As early as 1789 Congress evidenced the purpose to effect conformity in Federal and State Court practice	9
A like purpose was manifested as to judgment liens of Federal and State Courts, by the Federal Courts	10
The end sought in conformity of State and Federal Court judgment liens is concentration of information thereof in the office most accessible to the public	10
The origin, history and legislation on judgment liens discloses a persistent effort to effect a practical conformity	9–12
Conformity to a mathematical nicety cannot be effected as to Federal and State Court judgment liens by any legislation that can be devised, and this is true of judgment liens of any court	9–15–18
The history and construction of a statute may always be invoked in its interpretation	11
The intention of the law maker constitutes the law	11
The former statutes on the same subject, whether repealed or unrepealed, may be considered in construing the provisions that remain in force	12

PAGE

If two or more judgments are rendered on the same day against the same person, in the same County, one in the Federal Court, the other in the Circuit State Court, a transcript of the Federal Court judgment filed in the Clerk's office of the latter Court, pursuant to Missouri Sections 1554 and 1593, the two, in legal effect, would become judgments of said Circuit Court and be equal in priority and lien under Section 1556 of the Missouri Statute as judgments rendered "at the same term" 16

The Missouri Statutes creates two classes of judgment liens emanating from courts of general jurisdiction, one concerning circuit and the other applying to appellate and federal courts..... 14

Even in the strict construction of a statute it is not permissible to forecast contingencies and imaginary conditions and thereby create a situation to which courts must surrender..... 17

TABLE OF CASES.

	PAGE
Bayard v. Lombard, 9 How. 530, 539, & 540	13
Beers v. Haughton, 9 Pet. 329, 359	9
Board of Education v. Boehm, 102 O. St. 292, (131 N. E. 812, 815)	12
Bradley v. Heffernan, 156 Mo. 653, 662	16
Brown v. Duchesne, 19 How. 183, 197	12
Bruce v. Vogel, 30 Mo. 100, 103 & 104	17
Citizen's State Bank of Louisiana v. Parker, 192 U. S. 73, 85, 86	18–19
Cook v. Avery, 147 U. S. 375, 389 & 390	13
Corpus Juris	9
Costello v. Kansas City, 209 Mo. App. 155, 161	16
Cramer v. Barmon, 193 Mo. 327, 329	16
Dartmouth Savings Bank v. Bates, 44 Fed. 546, 549	10
Gibson v. Chouteau, 13 Wall 92, 100 & 101	16
Indianapolis & St. Louis R. Co. v. Horst, 93 U. S. (3 Otto) 291, 300	10–12
Lane v. Kingsbury, 11 Mo. 402, 407 & 408	16
Massingill v. Downs, 7 How. 761, 766	13
Newhall v. Sanger, 2 Otto 761, 766	16
Rhea v. Smith, 272 S. W. 964, 308 Mo. 422	1
Saint Louis D. &. S. Loan Ass'n v. Augustin, 2 Mo. App. 123, 132	16

PAGE

Scott v. Scott, 44 Mo. App. 600, 602 & 603..... 16

Searcy v. State, 167 Pac. 476, 478..... 12

Shirley v. Brown, 80 Mo. 244..... 17

Shrew v. Jones, 2 McLean 78 (22 Fed. Cas. 40 No. 12, 818 11

State v. Balch, 178 Mo. 392, 409..... 11

State ex rel. v. Walbridge, 153 Mo. 194, 204..... 6

Rockhill v. Hanna, 15 How. 189, 195..... 16

Virtebo v. Friedlander, 120 U. S. 707, 725, 726... 12

STATUTES.

Federal.

	PAGE
Act of August 1, 1888 (25 Stat. L. 357)	2–3
Amended 28 Stat. L. 814)	3
Repealed (37 Stat. L. 311)	3
Judicial Code, Sections 71 and 91	3–4
Process Act of 1789, ch. 21	9
Revised Statutes, 562, 621, 622, 623, 624, 914 and 916	4–10
Statutes at Large: 17-196, 27-13, 30-836 and 1002, 31-249	4–10

Missouri.

Constitution and Amendment	6–7
Creating Joplin Division Circuit Court	7
Division of State into Judicial Circuits	8
R. S. of 1889, Sections 6010 and 6039	6
R. S. of 1919, Sections 1554, 1555 and 1583, as to judgment liens	4–5–9–13–15
R. S. of 1919, Section 1514, as to jurisdiction of appellate courts	6–14
R. S. of 1919, Sections 1453 and 1456, as to new trial	16
Terms of Circuit Court at Joplin	8